

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		_			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/240,919	01/29/1999	ALEX E. HENDERSON	3625	7950	
7590 04/19/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Robert A Greenberg C/O BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Seventh Floor			PATEL	PATEL, AJIT	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2664		
Los Angeles, CA 90025			DATE MAILED: 04/19/200	DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/240,919	HENDERSON ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		AJIT G. PATEL	2664			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	21 June 2004.				
2a)□	_	This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un	· ·	• •			
Disposit	on of Claims					
5)□	Claim(s) <u>29,31-42,47-49,55-64 and 72-83</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>29,31-42,47-49,55-64 and 72-83</u> Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and another subject subjec	thdrawn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
10)	) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection t		` '			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c The oath or declaration is objected to by the		-			
	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) [ ] a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B see the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in Apper priority documents have been recurred to the priority documents have been recurred (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachment	(s)	•				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur				
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152) .			

Art Unit: 2664

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 29,31-42,47-49,55,56,59,62,63,72-83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ward (newly cited, U.S. Pat. # 6,304,903).

Regarding claims 29,37,47,55,72, Ward comprising a state machine for collecting information on use of a packet network comprising a filtering database comprising layered rule tables (314, 316 of fig. 3; lines 31-50, col. 9), wherein each rule table comprises a protocol element locator and a default rule (lines 29-39, col. 7; lines 23-49, col. 8); packet filtering engine coupled to the filtering database for filtering the packets using at least one rule table in the filtering database (320 of fig. 3).

Regarding claims 31,38,55,73, Ward disclose the limitation "each rule table further comprises at least one filtering rule" (TABLE 1 of col. 7).

Regarding claims 32,39,75, Ward discloses the limitation "at least one filtering rule comprises a statistics counter" (28 of fig. 1).

Regarding claims 33, 40,76, Ward discloses the limitation "the protocol element" locator comprises an offset and a mask for selecting a protocol element of a packet" (lines 5-22, col. 8).

Regarding claim 34, Ward discloses the limitation "protocol element locator further comprises a table timer and statistics counters (col. 5, line 48 through line 27, col. 6, specifically lines 55-64, col. 5).

Regarding claims 35,41,47,56, Ward discloses the limitation "a packet buffer for storing packets (18 of fig.1; 306 of fig. 3); a protocol element locator buffer for storing the protocol element locator (62,64,66 of fig. 3); and a rule evaluator for receiving a packet from the packet buffer and applying at least one rule table to the packet (320 of fig. 3)".

Regarding claims 36,37,49,59,63 Ward discloses the limitation "the packet filtering engine is coupled to receive a packet prototype modifying the filtering database" (lines 12-17, col. 2).

Regarding claim 42,47, Ward discloses the limitation "the rule evaluator uses the protocol element locator to select a protocol element from a packet" (320 of fig. 3).

Regarding claim 74, Ward discloses the limitation "the filtering rule comprises a pointer to another rule table" (lines 27-54, col. 11).

Regarding claims 77-83 are rejected the same way as the claims are rejected previously.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 57,58 and 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ward in view of Gobuyan et al (newly cited, U.S. Pat. 5,917,821).

Ward discloses all the subject matter as described in previous paragraph except the range of the protocol element descriptor. Gobuyan et al disclose the packet filtering system in which the protocol descriptor has the range to filter the packet (lines 54-60, col. 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use protocol descriptor has the range to filter the packet as taught by Gobuyan et al in the system of Ward to filter the in coming packet so that the packet can be forward to the destination.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claim 60,61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hariguchi et al (newly cited, U.S.Pat.6,181,698).

Regarding claim 60, Hariguchi discloses a packet filtering in the communication network which comprising a packet data interface, for receiving a packet (306 of fig. 8);

a parallel filtering database coupled to the packet data interface, the parallel filtering database for evaluating rules in a single rule table in parallel (line 42-49,61-67, col. 5).

Regarding claim 61, Hariguchi discloses at least one Table ID Content

Addressable memory (CAM); a Filtering rule storage; and an Associated Data (lines 1942, col. 6).

- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 29,31-42,47-49,55-64,72-83 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AJIT G. PATEL whose telephone number is 571-272-3140. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on 571-272-3134. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/240,919

Art Unit: 2664

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AP

Ajit Patel Primary Examiner